4 Question 1:
- What system should be put in place to require the removal of harmful content from online platforms? For example, the direct involvement of the regulator in a notice and take down system where it would have a role in deciding whether individual pieces of content should or should not be removed on receipt of an appeal from a user who is dissatisfied with the response they have received to a complaint submitted to the service provider. [Sections 2, 4, & 8 of the explanatory note]

Just put a warning label on it. Removing content based on subjective analysis puts us on a dangerous path to censorship.

5 Question 2:
- If the regulator is to be involved in deciding whether individual pieces of content should or should not be removed, should a statutory test be put in place before an appeal can be escalated to the regulator? Please describe any statutory test which you consider would be appropriate.

[Sections 2, 4 & 8 of the explanatory note]

The only content that should be removed is a clear call to violence. Nothing more.

6 Question 3:
- Which online platforms, either individual services or categories of services should be included within the scope of a regulatory or legislative scheme?

None

7 Question 4:
- How should harmful online content be defined in national legislation? Should the following categories be considered as harmful content? Online platforms are already required to remove content which it is a criminal offence under Irish and EU law to disseminate, such as material containing incitement to violence or hatred, content containing public provocation to commit a terrorist offence, offences concerning child sexual abuse material or concerning racism and xenophobia. Are there other clearly defined categories which should be considered?

For example:

- Serious Cyber bullying of a child (i.e. content which is seriously threatening, seriously intimidating, seriously harassing or seriously humiliating)
- Material which promotes self-harm or suicide
- Material designed to encourage prolonged nutritional deprivation that would have the effect of exposing a person to risk of death or endangering health

[Sections 2, 4 & 6 of the explanatory note]
The definitions as is is good enough

Page 4

9 Question 6:
- The revised Directive takes a principles based approach to harmful online content and requires Video Sharing Platform Services to take appropriate measures to protect minors from potentially harmful video content, the general public from video containing incitement to violence or hatred and certain criminal video content. It also requires that Ireland designate a regulator to oversee the ongoing implementation of these measures.

Given this, what kind of regulatory relationship should there be between a Video Sharing Platform Service established in Ireland and the Regulator?
[Section 3, 4, 5, 6 & 8 of the explanatory note]

It's a private business. You really don't have a right to interfere.
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11 Question 8:
- The revised Directive closely aligns the rules and requirements for television broadcasting services and on-demand audiovisual media services. Given this, what kind of regulatory relationship should there be between an on-demand audiovisual media service established in Ireland and the relevant Irish regulator? In addition, should the same content rules apply to both television broadcasting services and on-demand audiovisual media services?
[Section 4 of the explanatory note]

No regulation

12 Question 9:
- Should Ireland update its current content production fund (Sound & Vision fund currently administered by the BAI from licence fee receipts) to allow non-linear services to access this fund? Should Ireland seek to apply levies to services which are regulated in another EU Member State but target Ireland in order to fund or part-fund an updated content production fund?
[Section 4 of the explanatory note]

No. Definitely not.
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16 Question 13:
- How should the chosen regulatory structure or structures be funded given the various categories of services which are to be regulated?
[Section 5 of the explanatory note]

Not through taxpayers. Nobody asked for this.